PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP

1285 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019-6064

TELEPHONE (212) 373-3000

LLOYD K. GARRISON (1946-1991)
RANDOLPH E. PAUL (1946-1956)
SIMON H. RIFKIND (1950-1995)
LOUIS S. WEISS (1927-1950)
JOHN F. WHARTON (1927-1977)

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(212) 373-3118

WRITER'S DIRECT FACSIMILE

(212) 492-0118

WRITER'S DIRECT E-MAIL ADDRESS

lelynch@paulweiss.com

August 10, 2023

UNIT 5201, FORTUNE FINANCIAL CENTER 5 DONGSANHUAN ZHONGLU CHAOYANG DISTRICT, BEIJING 100020, CHINA TELEPHONE (86-10) 5828-6300

> SUITES 3601 – 3606 & 3610 36/F, GLOUCESTER TOWER THE LANDMARK 15 QUEEN'S ROAD, CENTRAL HONG KONG TELEPHONE (852) 2846-0300

ALDER CASTLE
10 NOBLE STREET
LONDON EC2V 7JU, UNITED KINGDOM
TELEPHONE (44 20) 7367 1600

535 MISSION STREET, 24TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 TELEPHONE (628) 432-5100

PUKOKU SEIMEI BUILDING 2-2 UCHISAIWAICHO 2-CHOME CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO 100-0011, JAPAN TELEPHONE (81-3) 3597-8101

TORONTO-DOMINION CENTRE
77 KING STREET WEST, SUITE 3100
PO. BOX 226
TORONTO, ONTARIO M5K 1J3
TELEPHONE (416) 504-0520

2001 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1047 TELEPHONE (202) 223-7300

500 DELAWARE AVENUE, SUITE 200 POST OFFICE BOX 32 WILMINGTON, DE 19899-0032 TELEPHONE (302) 655-4410 MATTHEW W. ABBOTT
EDWARD T. ACKERMAN
JACOB A. ARFERSTEIN
AND ARFERSTEIN
JONATHAN H. ASHTOR
ROBERT A. ATKINS
SCOTT A. BARSHAY
PAUL M. BASTA
LYNN B. BAYARD
JOSEPH J. BIADOM
H. CHRISTOPHER BOEHNING
BRIAN BOLIN
ANGELO BONVINO
ANDRE G. BOUCHARD*
PAUL D. BRACHMAN
GERALD BRACHMAN
GERALD BRACHMAN
GERALD BRACHMAN
GERALD BRACHMAN
GERALD BRACHMAN
GERALD BRACHMAN
SUSANNA M. BUEFER
JUSANNA M. BUEFER
JUSANNA M. BUEFER
JUSANNA M. BUEFER
JUSANNA M. BUEFER
JESSICA S. CAREY
JOHN P. CARLIN
DAVID CARMONA
GEOFFREY R. CHEPIGA
ELLEN N. CHING
ELWIS R. CLAYTON
YAHONNES CLEARY
REBECCA S. COCCARO
JAY COHEN
KELLEY A. CORNISH
CHRISTOPHER J. WY
THOMAS V. DE LA BASTIDE III
MEREDITH R. DEARBORN*
KAREN L. DUNN
ALICE BELISLE EATON
ANDREW J. EHRLICH
GREGORY A. EZRING
ROSS A. FILDSTON
ANDREW J. EHRLICH
GREGORY A. EZRING
ROSS A. FILDSTON
BRIAN P. FINNEGAN
ROBERTO FINZI
PETER E. FISCH
HARRIS B. FREIDUS
MANUEL S. FREID

BRIAN KRAUSE
CAITH KUSHNER
CAITH KUSHNER
CAITH KUSHNER
BRIAN C. LAVIN
LORETTA E. LYNCH
JEFFREY D. MARELL
LORETTA E. LYNCH
JEFFREY D. MARELL
LORETTA E. LYNCH
JEFFREY D. MARCLU
JEFREY D. MARCLU
JEFREY D. MARCLU
JEAN M. MCLOUGHLIN
JEAN M. MICHAEL
SEAN A. MITCHELL
SEAN A. MITCHELL
SEAN A. MITCHELL
JUDIE NG SHORTELL*
CATHERINE NYARADY
JANE B. O'BRIEN
BRAD R. OKUN
SUNG PAK
CRYSTAL L. PARKER
LINDSAY B. PARKER
LINDSAY B. PARKER
ADANIELLE C. PENHALL
CHARLES J. PESANT
ANASTASIA V. PETERSON
JESSICA E. PHILLIPS*
AUSTIN S. POLLET*
VALERIE E. RADWANER
JEFFREY J. RESON
JESSICA E. PHILLIPS*
AUSTIN S. POLLET*
ANDREW N. ROSENBERG
JACQUELINE P. RUBBIN
RAPHAEL M. RUSSO
LIZABETH M. SACKSTEDER
JEFFREY B. SAMUELS
PANDLE S. RHEE*
ANDREW N. ROSENBERG
JACQUELINE P. RUBBIN
RAPHAEL M. RUSSO
LIZABETH M. SACKSTEDER
JEFFREY B. SCHWER
JENNETH M. SCHWER
JOHN M. SCOTT
BRIAN SCRIVANI
KYLE T. SEIFRIED
KANNON K. SHANMUGAM
SCHAM'S SHER
JOHN M. SCOTT
BRIAN SCRIVANI
KYLE T. SEIFRIED
KANNON K. SHANMUGAM
SCHAM'S SHERP
JOHN M. SOOTT
BRIAN SCRIVANI
KYLE T. SEIFRIED
KANNON K. SHANMUGAM
SCHAM'S SHERP
JOHN M. SOOTT
BRIAN SCRIVANI
KYLE T. SEIFRIED
KANNON K. SHANMUGAM
SCHAM'S SHERP
JOHN M. SCOTT
BRIAN SCRIVANI
KYLE T. SEIFRIED
KANNON K. SHANMUGAM
SCHAM'S SHERP
JOHN M. SCOTT
BRIAN SCRIVANI
KYLE T. SEIFRIED
KANNON K. SHANMUGAM
SCHAM'S SHERP
JOHN M. SCOTT
BRIAN SCRIVANI
KYLE T. SEIFRIED
KANNON K. SHANMUGAM
SCHAM'S SHERP
JOHN M. SCOTT
BRIAN SCRIVANI
KYLE T. SEIFRIED
KANNON K. SHANMUGAM
SCHAM'S SHERP
JOHN M. SCOTT
BRIAN SCRIVANI
KYLE T. SEIFRIED
KANNON K. SHANMUGAM
SCHAM'S SHULL
J. WELL

*NOT ADMITTED TO THE NEW YORK BAR

VIA ECF

Honorable Margo K. Brodie United States District Court Eastern District of New York 225 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201

> Re: Federal Defenders of New York, Inc. v. Federal Bureau of Prisons, et al., No. 19-cv-00660 (E.D.N.Y.)

Dear Judge Brodie:

I write to update the Court on the parties' ongoing efforts to address issues raised in this litigation through mediation.

I. Background

I have continued to receive call and videoconference data from the parties. I also continue to be in communication with the Federal Defenders, Inc. ("Plaintiff") and their counsel, the government, and representatives of the Metropolitan Detention Center ("MDC") and Metropolitan Correctional Center ("MCC").

My communications with the Federal Defenders and the government focused on the following topics:

- 1. The current status of in-person legal visitation at the MDC.
- 2. The execution of the current protocol for scheduling and placing attorney-client phone calls at the MDC.

II. Telephonic Contact

The parties continue to schedule and facilitate legal phone calls under the Court Protocol for Attorney Calls and Teleconference Hearings. Pursuant to the Protocol, attorney-client calls are scheduled by Federal Defenders for afternoon slots of one-half hour each from 12pm to 3pm at the MDC. As Your Honor is aware, the parties have also made efforts to expand legal call hours beyond the times provided in the Protocol. *See* ECF Nos. 70 & 76.

According to information received from the parties, MDC scheduled 562 calls between July 6 and August 9, 2023. Of these 562 calls, 487 were completed, ² 15 were attempted but not completed, 10 were cancelled or rescheduled by the inmate's attorney, ³ 11 were not completed because the inmate refused, five were not completed because the inmate was in SHU, ⁴ four were not completed because the inmate was on a different floor, ⁵ one was not completed because the inmate was in GED testing, ⁶ two were not completed because the institution reported issues with the phone line, ⁷ two were not completed because the inmate was not at the institution, one was not completed because the inmate was in court, and one was not completed because the inmate was on suicide watch. ⁹ Finally, seven calls were not

¹ I held joint mediation calls with the parties on July 18, July 31, and August 8, 2023.

The Federal Defenders reported three of these calls as not completed.

³ The Federal Defenders reported one of these calls as completed.

⁴ The MDC reported that two of these calls were later completed.

⁵ The Federal Defenders reported two of these calls as completed. The MDC reported that two of these calls were later completed.

⁶ The MDC reported that this call was later completed.

⁷ The MDC reported that one of these calls was later completed.

⁸ The MDC reported that one of these calls was later completed.

⁹ The MDC reported that this call was later completed.

completed for unknown reasons, ¹⁰ and we are unaware of the outcome for 14 calls. We are working with the parties to gather more information about these calls.

Additionally, Federal Defenders report some technical issues over the past five weeks.

III. Videoconferencing

According to the information received from the parties, MDC scheduled 187 videoconferences between July 6 and August 9, 2023. Of these 187 VTCs, 158 were completed, ¹¹ three were completed as a call, ¹² seven were cancelled or rescheduled by the inmate's attorney, ¹³ six were attempted but not completed, three were not completed because the inmate was on a different floor, two were not completed because the inmate had a social visit, one was not completed because the inmate refused, ¹⁴ one was not completed because the inmate was in religious services, and one was not completed because the inmate was in SHU. Finally, two VTCs were not completed for unknown reasons, ¹⁵ and we are unaware of the outcome for four VTCs. We are working with the parties to gather more information about these VTCs.

Again, Federal Defenders report some technical issues with VTCs over the past five weeks.

IV. In-Person Visiting

Over the past five weeks, the parties have continued to discuss the tracking of attorney wait times. The MDC continued to collect data during this time period, and that data is available for tabulation should specific time-tracking concerns arise. The parties continue to discuss ways to efficiently collect the data and increase participation by the Defense Bar in the tracking system while minimizing the burden on the MDC.

The parties continue to discuss issues relating to implementation of procedures regarding legal access. Multiple incidents occurred throughout July and August 2023, where visiting room officers only called down one client despite customarily bringing down multiple clients for legal visitors. On August 1, 2023, the institution explained that it had posted signs reminding staff and visitors of this policy. On August 8, 2023, the institution modified this practice by explaining that attorneys may continue requesting up to three clients to be escorted to the visiting room, but that the institution may be limited in producing only one client at a time due to ongoing staffing and security challenges. The parties also discussed a recent incident from July 25, 2023, where a legal visitor's entry to the institution was delayed due to allegedly inappropriate clothing—

The MDC reported that two of these calls were later completed.

¹¹ The Federal Defenders reported four of these VTCs as not completed.

The parties reported that these VTCs were switched to a call because of technical issues.

¹³ The Federal Defenders reported two of these VTCs as completed.

The Federal Defenders reported one of these VTCs as completed.

¹⁵ The Federal Defenders reported one of these VTCs as completed.

despite the visitor's seeming adherence to the dress code policy. The institution has since provided staff ongoing sensitivity training, which includes addressing potential dress code concerns in an objective and tactful manner. The front desk staff was also instructed to raise potential dress code concerns or judgment calls to MDC legal staff as they occur. Moreover, the institution continues to remind staff of these and all other policies with written guidance, which are intermittently updated as concerns arise.

We continue to work on managing the attorney experience within the institution. As previously reported, the MDC has installed phones on Unit 84, so attorneys can alert officers to the conclusion of their visit. The system has been utilized and is reported to work well. The MDC has indicated that it will be installing an intercom system in the SHU for the same reason. An event this week underscored the urgency of this issue, as we learned today of an attorney who was left in the SHU visiting room for over 30 minutes, despite repeatedly calling out and banging on the door. The MDC is awaiting delivery of the intercom system and is looking into this incident. I will continue to monitor this situation.

Ongoing technical issues with telephones and VTC equipment in various units are being investigated by staff and addressed as necessary. On July 18, 2023, the parties discussed a malfunction of the Unit 84 phone, and the institution reported that the phone was since repaired and operational on July 24, 2023. Additionally, the parties discussed technical issues with phones throughout the institution in late July 2023. On July 31, 2023, the institution explained that these issues were caused by ongoing work to the phone system, which was now resolved.

I will continue to discuss these and other issues with the parties.

Respectfully,

/s/ Loretta E. Lynch Loretta E. Lynch

cc: Sean Hecker, Kaplan, Hecker & Fink LLP
Seth D. Eichenholtz, U.S. Attorney's Office (E.D.N.Y.)
Sean P. Greene-Delgado, U.S. Attorney's Office (E.D.N.Y.)
Shana C. Priore, U.S. Attorney's Office (E.D.N.Y.)
Jeffrey Oestericher, U.S. Attorney's Office (S.D.N.Y.)